

August 22, 1994

VIA: DHL Airbill 704254014

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 1919 M Street N.W. Room 222 Washington, DC 20554 RECEIVED

AUG 23 1994

FCC MAIL ROOM

Dear Mr. Caton,

Re: Karl Brothers Incorporated
Petition for Reconsideration

On Friday, August 19, 1994, Karl Brothers Incorporated sent to your attention a package containing a Petition for Reconsideration to be included in the upcoming FCC PCS license auctions as a designated entity. A copy of DHL Airbill 704253992 is attached for your records. We were alarmed to see that only the eleven (11) copies of the petition were sent. I have enclosed the original Petition here, along with the original transmittal letter.

We respectfully request that Karl Brothers Petition for Reconsideration be accepted under these circumstances.

I thank you in advance for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Thomas A. Karl

El A Kal


President

	re in full on reverse you have any questional REFERENCE	* 7 0	4 2 5 3 9 9 2 * DER AIRBILL - NON NEGOTIABLE	PP	Pieces	ALIGH
SENT BY (COMPANY NAME) KEK RECYCLING NAME/DEPARTMENT SUITE		MR. WILLIAM F. CATON ATTHACTING Secretary			SERVICES IT EXPRESS DOCUMENT DE PACKAGE EXPRESS	CHARGES
ADDRESS 1262 DLD RICHARDSON HIG	HWAY	AGORNA 919 M.N.St Washington	, D.C. 20554	WORLDMA	(INTERNATIONAL DUTIABLE) 1st CLASS 2nd CLASS Y SERVICE	
FAIRBANKS AK 99705 (907)488		CUV STATE	PROVINCE CLOSERY		CE Enter amount YES	segrification in the segretary
ZIPIPOST CODE PHONE Please attach four copies of a Commercial or Profession Invoice on you	e de la companya de	ZIP/POST CODE Worldwide Package Express	Assumed to be sender unless otherwise specific		F DELIVERY (POD) SECULORIAL FOR POR	Marie Carlos
			3rd Party ACCOUNT NUMBER CASH \$ CHECK NO CHECK \$ EXPREY	ONFORWA	CENTERCOCHACK	
ANNUAL SERVICE AND	EIGHT COMMODITY/TARIFF C	OUT ABLE SHIPMENTS:	CREDIT SYPE			TOWN COST
EXPORT LICENSE NUMBER/SYMBOL EXPIRY DATE		ED BY THE UNITED STATES FOR TO US TAW PROHIBITED THOM IS SOUTH AFRICA OR OR DELIVERY DIRECTLY OR RUSE BY OR FOR POLICE OR HIBITED.	IMPE DO HENERY AUTHORIZE OHLTO EXECUTE INTO AUTHORIZE OHLTO EXECUTE INTO AUTHORIZE OHLTO EXECUTE INTO AUTHORIZE OHLTO EXECUTE INTO AUTHORIZE DESCRIPTION INCESSARY POR THE EMERICHANDISE DESCRIPTION HEREIN ON MY/OUR BE UDES NOT CARRY CASH	ME REGULTING PROM D MY MAY BE INCURRED.	PICKED UF	P BY DHI
IMPORT CHARGES	CHARGE TO:	ECEIVER SHIPPER	SHIPPER'S SIGNATURE DATE	DAT	81974	COURIER NUMBER TIME 45 SHIPPER'S COP

:

•





August 19, 1994

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street NW
Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEN'ED

AUG 2 3 1994

FCC MAIL ROOM

Dear Mr. Caton:

RE: Karl Brothers Incorporated Petition for Reconsideration

Attached please find the original and eleven (11) copies of Karl Brothers, Inc.'s, Petition for Reconsideration to be included in the upcoming FCC PCS license auctions as a "designated entity."

I understand the closing date for receiving such a Petition is August 22, 1994. It would be greatly appreciated if you could ensure our Petition is filed by that date.

Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Thomas A. Karl

President

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)				
Implementation of Section 309(j) of the the Communications Act Competitive Bidding)))	PP	Docket	No.	93-253

Petition for Reconsideration of Karl Brothers, Inc.

RECEIVED

AUG 23 1994

FCC MAIL ROOM

Thomas A. Karl Timothy E. Karl Gregory L. Karl

Karl Brothers, Inc.
P. O. Box 58040
Fairbanks, AK 99711
(907) 488-9053

Date: August 19, 1994

Karl Brothers, Inc., has recently requested the FCC to recognize firms that are owned by individuals with disabilities, such as ours, as "designated entities" for purposes of the upcoming PCS auctions. I have attached a copy of those requests for your convenience.

It has become apparent since our original request that the FCC does not have a history of providing preferences and incentives to firms owned by people with disabilities, and that our request to be included as a "designated entity" will not be accomplished without further communication. We would like to address those issues here for your reconsideration.

Congress passed legislation to "...ensure that small businesses, rural telephone companies, and businesses owned by members of minority groups and women are given the opportunity to participate in the provision of spectrum-based services." The FCC has chosen to interpret "minority groups" to mean only ethnic minorities, which, we are told, is consistent with past FCC policies. But, clearly, the Congressional mandate to give special preference to "minority groups" is not limited to just ethnic minorities, but includes other historically disadvantaged minorities, such as the

disabled. We believe that the FCC should seriously reconsider its too narrow interpretation of the term "minority groups" and its mandate from Congress.

Further, in stating that the FCC should be "promoting economic opportunity and competition...by disseminating licenses among a wide variety of applicants, including small businesses, rural telephone companies and business owned by members of minority groups and women," Congress was merely giving examples of groups not to be excluded. We believe that the key portion of the preceding phrase is "including." While this important phraseology specifies certain groups to be included in the designated categories group, it does not limit the FCC to these groups alone. That much is evident by the fact that there is no language excluding other disadvantaged groups and by the fact that the language clearly mentions a "wide variety of applicants, including," but we think, not limited to, "...minority groups and women."

Karl Brothers is a "minority" business by virtue of the fact that it is owned by individuals with severe handicaps. This is not just the opinion of Karl Brothers, but is also the opinion of the Small Business Administration. Karl Brothers is a participant in the SBA's 8(a) program, a program that sets aside government contracts for minority-owned firms. The 8(a) certification is granted only to ethnic and non-ethnic minority-owned firms that can provide clear and convincing evidence that both the owners individually and the firm have been both socially and economically disadvantaged by virtue of their physical condition. Theoretically, these disadvantages are the results of past discrimination due to minority status, as evidenced by lack of access to credit, capital, etc., and thus, government preferences are justified.

It is clear that firms owned by disabled individuals are in the minority in the telecommunications industry. In fact, we know of no disabled firms which possess an FCC license of any type. It would certainly serve to diversify the license-holder group by including firms owned by the disabled, such as ours, in the bidding process as designated entities. This is the result Congress intended when it sought to provide preferences to historically disadvantaged groups, due to both ethnic and non-ethnic prejudices.

While we sympathize with the complications that a disabled preference entails, and the resistance that accompanies change, this change is overdue. The SBA Section 8(a) program could provide the FCC with a set

of workable guidelines to determine who should or should not qualify for "designated entity" status in terms of handicap-owned firms.

Respectfully submitted,

August 19, 1994 by

KARL BROTHERS, INCORPORATED

Thomas A. Karl, President



KARL BROS. INC.

840 W. MAIN STREET * PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61606 PHONE (309) 637-5275 * FAX (309) 637-5277

RECEIVED

AUG 23 1994

FCC MAIL ROOM

July 27, 1994

Chairman Mr. Reed E. Hundt Federal Communication Commission 1919 M Street, N. W. Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hundt:

Re: Competitive Bidding Procedures for Broadband PCS

Karl Brothers, Inc. applands FCC's recent courageous decision to implement the Competitive Opportunity Plan for issuance of Broadbard PCS licenses.

Our firm is excited about the opportunity to participate in the upcoming auctions, but feel we may not qualify as a "designated entity." Karl Bros. currently is a participant in the SBA's 8(a) program which we qualified for not as a minority or female owned and controlled business, but as a socially and disadvantaged handicapped business. We are involved in the telecommunication industry sales and actuation of cellular phones. We have watched the PCS technology development with great interest and feel the FCC Competitive Opportunity Plan would enable us to participate in the field which would not otherwise be attainable.

We respectfully request that firms such as ours who have been certified by SBA as socially and economically disadvantaged be allowed to share the same advantage allowed under FCC's Competitive Opportunity Plan by minority and female owned and controlled firms.

If there is any further information or clarification we can provide concerning this matter, please feel free to contact me at (309) 637-5275.

As the time for auction is drawing near, we would appreciate your prompt attention to this request.

Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Sincerely,

ZD A RAP Thomas A. Kari

President

RECEIVED AUG 2 3 1994



FCC MAIL ROOM

VIA FACSIMILE 202-418-2801 August 19, 1994

Chairman Reed E. Hundt Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W. Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Hundt:

RE: Competitive Bidding Procedures for PCS Licenses

Karl Brothers, Inc., has recently requested the FCC to recognize firms that are owned by individuals with disabilities, such as ours, as "designated entities" for purposes of the upcoming PCS auctions. I have attached a copy of that request for your convenience.

It has become apparent since our original request that the FCC does not have a history of providing preferences and incentives to handicap firms, and that our request to be included as "designated entities" will not be accomplished without further communication. We would like to address those issues for your consideration.

Congress passed legislation to "...ensure that small businesses, rural telephone companies, and businesses owned by members of minority groups and women are given the opportunity to participate in the provision of spectrum-based services." The FCC apparently chooses to interpret "minority groups" to mean only ethnic minorities, which, we are told, is consistent with past FCC policies. But, clearly, the Congressional mandate to give special preference to "minority groups" is not limited to just ethnic minorities, but includes other historically disadvantaged minorities, such as the disabled. We believe that the FCC should seriously reconsider its too narrow interpretation of the term "minority groups" and its mandate from Congress.

Chairman Reed E. Hundt August 19, 1994 Page Two

Karl Brothers is a "minority" business by virtue of the fact that it is owned by handicapped individuals. This is not just the opinion of Karl Brothers, but is also the opinion of the Small Business Administration. Karl Brothers is a participant in the SBA's 8(a) program, a program that sets aside government contracts for socially and economically disadvantaged firms. The 8(a) certification is granted only to ethnic and non-ethnic minorities who can provide clear and convincing evidence that both individually and as a firm they have been socially and economically disadvantaged by virtue of their physical condition. Theoretically, these disadvantages are the results of past discrimination, as evidenced by lack of access to credit, capital, etc., and, thus, government preferences are justified.

It is clear that firms owned by disabled individuals are in the minority in the telecommunications industry. In fact, we know of no disabled firms which possess an FCC license of any type. It would certainly serve to diversify the license-holder group by including handicapped firms, such as ours, in the bidding process as designated entities. This is the result Congress intended when it sought to provide preferences to historically disadvantaged groups, due to both ethnic and non-ethnic prejudices.

While we sympathize with the legal complications that a disabled preference entails, and the resistance that accompanies change, this change is overdue. The SBA Section 8(a) program could provide the FCC with a set of workable guidelines to determine who should or should not qualify for "designated entity" status.

I hope this information proves useful in your decision on our request. Please feel free to contact me at (907) 488-9053 if I can provide any further information.

Sincerely,

Thomas A. Karl President

The 2 Kall